Three-meson τ decays involving kaons and η mesons in the NJL model

M. K. Volkov,^{1,*} A. A. Pivovarov,^{1,†} and K. Nurlan^{(1,2,3,‡}

¹Bogoliubov Laboratory of Theoretical Physics, JINR, 141980 Dubna, Russia
 ²The Institute of Nuclear Physics, Almaty, 050032, Kazakhstan
 ³L. N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana, 010008, Kazakhstan

(Received 14 August 2023; accepted 30 October 2023; published 12 January 2024)

Branching fractions of decays $\tau \to K^0 \pi^- \eta \nu_{\tau}$, $\tau \to K^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_{\tau}$, $\tau \to K^- K^0 \eta \nu_{\tau}$, and $\tau \to K^- \eta \eta \nu_{\tau}$ are calculated in the $U(3) \times U(3)$ chiral Nambu–Jona-Lasinio quark model. The contact, vector, axial-vector, and pseudoscalar channels are considered. It is shown that the axial vector channel is dominant. The obtained results are in satisfactory agreement with experiment.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.109.016016

I. INTRODUCTION

The study of hadronic τ decays is of great importance for a deeper understanding of strong interactions at low energies (< 2 GeV). At this energy scale, the perturbation theory of quantum chromodynamics is not applicable. Therefore, it is necessary to apply various phenomenological models. One of these models that has been successfully used for the description of low-energy meson interactions is the $U(3) \times U(3)$ chiral symmetric Nambu–Jona-Lasinio (NJL) quark model [1–15]. In the framework of this model, numerous τ lepton decays and processes of electronpositron annihilation into meson states were successfully described [16].

The NJL model is based on the chiral symmetry of strong interactions. This symmetry is partially broken by the current masses of the u, d, and s quarks within the limits of 15% [17]. In the case of including heavier quarks, the chiral symmetry breaking becomes unacceptably strong. That is why, in the existing versions of the NJL model, the symmetry higher than $U(3) \times U(3)$ is not applied. In the case of η mesons, it is also necessary to take into account the mixing of light u and d quarks with a heavier s quark. This mixing arises when the gluon anomaly is taken into account, which is well described by using the 't Hooft interaction [18,19]. The processes considered here include both strange kaons and η mesons, in the description of which the breaking of the chiral symmetry pointed out above continues to play a very important role. This is partially the

*volkov@theor.jinr.ru tex_k@mail.ru reason why these processes are theoretical and not well studied at the present time. However, more satisfactory results from the experiments have been obtained here. The most interesting results were presented by the Belle Collaboration [20] from an experiment on measuring the branching fractions of τ lepton decays containing η mesons, where higher statistics were obtained than in other experiments [21,22].

As for theoretical studies, a number of works have been published describing the decays of τ into three pseudoscalar mesons: $\tau \to 3\pi\nu_{\tau}$ [23–25], $\tau \to K\pi\pi\nu_{\tau}$ [26,27], $\tau \to KK\pi\nu_{\tau}$ [28,29], and $\tau \to 3K\nu_{\tau}$ [30,31]. It is natural to continue these studies for decays involving the kaon and η meson. In the present paper, we give a theoretical description of three-meson τ decays containing K and η mesons $\tau \to K^0\pi^-\eta\nu_{\tau}$, $\tau \to K^-\pi^0\eta\nu_{\tau}$, $\tau \to K^-K^0\eta\nu_{\tau}$, and $\tau \to K^-\eta\eta\nu_{\tau}$ in the framework of the NJL model. We take into account the contact contributions and the contributions from the intermediate axial vector, vector, and pseudoscalar states.

II. LAGRANGIAN OF THE NJL MODEL

For the calculation of the processes $\tau \to K^0 \pi^- \eta \nu_{\tau}$, $\tau \to K^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_{\tau}$, $\tau \to K^- K^0 \eta \nu_{\tau}$, and $\tau \to K^- \eta \eta \nu_{\tau}$ we need the vertices containing the meson states K, K^*, K_1, π , and η . The fragment of the quark-meson Lagrangian of the NJL model with such vertices takes the following form [15]:

$$\begin{split} \Delta L_{\text{int}} &= \bar{q} \left\{ \sum_{i=0,\pm} \left[i g_K \gamma^5 \lambda_i^K K^i + i g_\pi \gamma^5 \lambda_i^\pi \pi^i + \frac{g_\rho}{2} \gamma^\mu \lambda_i^\rho \rho_\mu^i \right. \\ &+ \frac{g_{K^*}}{2} \gamma^\mu \lambda_i^K K_\mu^{*i} + \frac{g_{a_1}}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \lambda_i^\rho a_{1\mu}^i + \frac{g_{K_1}}{2} \gamma^\mu \gamma^5 \lambda_i^K K_{1A\mu}^i \right] \\ &+ i g_K \gamma^5 \lambda_0^{\bar{K}} \bar{K}^0 + i \sin \bar{\theta} g_{\eta^\mu} \gamma^5 \lambda^\mu \eta + i \cos \bar{\theta} g_{\eta^s} \gamma^5 \lambda^s \eta \\ &+ \frac{g_{K^*}}{2} \gamma^\mu \lambda_0^{\bar{K}} \bar{K}_\mu^{*0} \right\} q, \end{split}$$

[‡]nurlan@theor.jinr.ru

Published by the American Physical Society under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution 4.0 International license. Further distribution of this work must maintain attribution to the author(s) and the published article's title, journal citation, and DOI. Funded by SCOAP³.

where q and \bar{q} are triplets of the u, d, and s quarks with the constituent masses $m_u \approx m_d = 270$ MeV and $m_s =$ 420 MeV; λ are the linear combinations of the Gell-Mann matrices; $\bar{\theta} = \theta^0 - \theta$ is the mixing angle of the mesons η and η' ; and $\theta = -19^\circ$ is the deviation of the ideal mixing angle $\theta^0 = 35.3^\circ$ [19].

The strange axial vector meson K_{1A} appearing in the Lagrangian represents the combination of two states that are the results of the mixing of the states K_{1A} and K_{1B} [32,33]:

$$K_{1A} = K_1(1270) \sin \alpha + K_1(1400) \cos \alpha, \qquad (2)$$

where $\alpha = 57^{\circ}$.

The quark-meson coupling constants appear from the renormalization of the free Lagrangian [15]:

$$g_{\pi} = g_{\eta^{u}} = \sqrt{\frac{Z_{\pi}}{4I_{20}}}, \qquad g_{\eta^{s}} = \sqrt{\frac{Z_{s}}{4I_{02}}}, \qquad g_{K} = \sqrt{\frac{Z_{K}}{4I_{11}}},$$
$$g_{\rho} = g_{a_{1}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2I_{20}}}, \qquad g_{K^{*}} = g_{K_{1}} = \sqrt{\frac{3}{2I_{11}}}, \qquad (3)$$

where

$$Z_{\pi} = \left(1 - 6\frac{m_u^2}{M_{a_1}^2}\right)^{-1}, \qquad Z_s = \left(1 - 6\frac{m_s^2}{M_{f_1}^2}\right)^{-1},$$
$$Z_K = \left(1 - \frac{3}{2}\frac{(m_u + m_s)^2}{M_{K_{1A}}^2}\right)^{-1},$$
$$M_{K_{1A}}^2 = \left(\frac{\sin^2\alpha}{M_{K_1(1270)}^2} + \frac{\cos^2\alpha}{M_{K_1(1400)}^2}\right)^{-1}, \qquad (4)$$

where Z_{π} , Z_s , and Z_K are the factors describing $\pi - a_1$, $\eta - f_1$, and $K - K_1$ transitions; and $M_{a_1} = 1230 \text{ MeV}$, $M_{f_1} = 1426 \text{ MeV}$, $M_{K_1(1270)} = 1253 \text{ MeV}$, and $M_{K_1(1400)} = 1403 \text{ MeV}$ [34] are the masses of the axial vector mesons a_1 and K_1 .

The integrals in the definitions of the coupling constants as well as appearing in the quark loops as a result of the renormalization of the free Lagrangian take the form

$$I_{nm} = -i \frac{N_c}{(2\pi)^4} \int \frac{\theta(\Lambda^2 + k^2)}{(m_u^2 - k^2)^n (m_s^2 - k^2)^m} \mathrm{d}^4 k, \quad (5)$$

where $\Lambda = 1265$ MeV is the cutoff parameter [15].



FIG. 1. The contact diagram of the decays $\tau \to K^* \eta(K^* \pi) \to K \pi \eta \nu_{\tau}$.

III. THE $\tau \to K\pi\eta\nu_{\tau}$ DECAY AMPLITUDE

Since the decays under consideration are four-particle, the corresponding diagrams can contain up to two intermediate states. As the first intermediate state, the axial vector, vector, or pseudoscalar meson can be considered. Therefore, the axial vector, vector, and pseudoscalar channels can be distinguished. As the second intermediate resonance, only vector mesons may take place. Besides, contact diagrams where the *W* boson does not produce the first intermediate resonance but directly decays into two meson states are also possible. Since the contact diagram contains the vector and axial vector parts, the contact contributions can be included in the appropriate axial vector and vector channels.

The diagrams of the processes $\tau \to K \pi \eta \nu_{\tau}$ are presented in Figs. 1 and 2.

The amplitude of the process obtained in the framework of the NJL model takes the following form:

$$\mathcal{M} = G_F V_{us} L_{\mu} \{ \mathcal{M}_A + \mathcal{M}_V + \mathcal{M}_P \}^{\mu}, \tag{6}$$

where L_{μ} is the weak lepton current; \mathcal{M}_A , \mathcal{M}_V , and \mathcal{M}_P are the axial vector, vector, and pseudoscalar channels, respectively; and for the process $\tau \to K^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_{\tau}$ they take the form



FIG. 2. The diagram with the intermediate mesons describing the decays $\tau \to K^* \eta(K^*\pi) \to K \pi \eta \nu_{\tau}$.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_{A}^{\mu} &= -i\frac{3}{2}m_{s}Z_{K}\frac{g_{\pi}}{g_{K}}\Big[(g^{\mu\nu}h_{K_{1}(1270)} - q^{\mu}q^{\nu})BW_{K_{1}(1270)}^{q}\sin^{2}\alpha + (g^{\mu\nu}h_{K_{1}(1400)} - q^{\mu}q^{\nu})BW_{K_{1}(1400)}^{q}\cos^{2}\alpha\Big] \\ &\times \Big\{\Big[g_{\eta^{\mu}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}g_{\eta^{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}\Big]BW_{K^{*-}}^{q_{K_{\eta}}}\Big(\frac{1}{Z_{\eta}}p_{K} - p_{\eta}\Big)_{\nu} + \Big[g_{\eta^{\mu}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}\frac{m_{u}}{m_{s}}g_{\eta^{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}\Big]BW_{K^{*-}}^{q_{K_{\pi}}}\Big(\frac{1}{Z_{K_{1}}}p_{K} - \frac{1}{Z_{a_{1}}}p_{\pi}\Big)_{\nu}\Big\},\\ \mathcal{M}_{V}^{\mu} &= 6m_{u}g_{\pi}g_{K}I_{cu}BW_{K^{*}}^{q}h_{K^{*}}\frac{1 + Z_{\eta}}{Z_{\eta}}e^{\mu\nu\lambda\delta}p_{\pi\nu}p_{K\lambda}p_{\eta\delta}\Big\{\Big[g_{\eta^{\mu}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}g_{\eta^{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}\Big]BW_{K^{*-}}^{q_{K_{\eta}}} \\ &- \Big[g_{\eta^{\mu}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}\frac{m_{s}}{m_{u}}\frac{I_{cs}}{I_{cu}}g_{\eta^{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}\Big]BW_{K^{*-}}^{q_{K_{\pi}}}\Big\},\\ \mathcal{M}_{P}^{\mu} &= \frac{3}{4}(m_{s} + m_{u})Z_{K}^{2}\frac{g_{\pi}}{g_{K}}\Big[g_{\eta^{\mu}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}g_{\eta^{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}\Big]q^{\mu}BW_{K}^{q}\Big\{BW_{K^{*-}}^{q_{K_{\eta}}}\Big(\frac{1}{Z_{K_{1}}}q + \frac{1}{Z_{a_{1}}}p_{\pi}\Big)^{\nu}\Big(\frac{1}{Z_{\eta}}p_{K} - p_{\eta}\Big)_{\nu} \\ &+ BW_{K^{*-}}^{q_{K_{\pi}}}\Big(\frac{1}{Z_{\eta}}q + p_{\eta}\Big)^{\nu}\Big(\frac{1}{Z_{K_{1}}}p_{K} - \frac{1}{Z_{a_{1}}}p_{\pi}\Big)_{\nu}\Big\}, \end{aligned}$$

where p_K , p_{π} , and p_{η} are the momenta of the final mesons, $q_{K\pi} = p_K + p_{\pi}$, $q_{K\eta} = p_K + p_{\eta}$, and $q = p_K + p_{\pi} + p_{\eta}$.

The intermediate states are described using the Breit-Wigner propagator

$$BW_{M}^{p} = \frac{1}{M_{M}^{2} - p^{2} - i\sqrt{p^{2}}\Gamma_{M}},$$
(8)

where *M* designates a meson and M_M , Γ_M , and *p* are its mass, width, and momentum, respectively.

The factors Z_{K_1} , Z_{a_1} , and Z_{η} appear as a result of the explicit allowance for transitions between the axial vector and pseudoscalar states in the different diagram vertices:

$$Z_{a_{1}} = \left(1 - 3\frac{m_{u}(3m_{u} - m_{s})}{M_{a_{1}}^{2}}\right)^{-1},$$

$$Z_{K_{1}} = \left(1 - 3\frac{m_{s}(m_{u} + m_{s})}{M_{K_{1A}}^{2}}\right)^{-1},$$

$$Z_{\eta} = \left(1 - 3\frac{m_{s}g_{\eta_{u}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}m_{u}g_{\eta_{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}}{g_{\eta_{u}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}g_{\eta_{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}}\frac{m_{s} + m_{u}}{M_{K_{1A}}^{2}}\right)^{-1}.$$
(9)

The factors h_{K_1} and h_{K^*} appear as a result of the summation of the diagrams with intermediate mesons and the appropriate parts of the contact diagrams:

$$h_{K_1} = M_{K_1}^2 - i\sqrt{q^2}\Gamma_{K_1} - \frac{3}{2}(m_s + m_u)^2,$$

$$h_{K^*} = M_{K^*}^2 - i\sqrt{q^2}\Gamma_{K^*} - \frac{3}{2}(m_s - m_u)^2.$$
(10)

In the vector channel, the combinations of the convergent integrals appear:

$$I_{cu} = I_{21} + m_u (m_s - m_u) I_{31},$$

$$I_{cs} = I_{12} - m_s (m_s - m_u) I_{13},$$
(11)

where I_{21} , I_{31} , I_{12} , and I_{13} were defined in (5).

The partial width of this decay calculated by using the above amplitude takes on the following value:

Br
$$(\tau \to K^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_\tau) = (3.9 \pm 0.6) \times 10^{-5}.$$
 (12)

There are many sources of model uncertainty. The main source is the partial conservation of the axial current principle (PCAC). On this basis, the model error can be estimated at 15% [17]. The next source of uncertainty is associated with taking into account the gluon anomaly in the model [19]. Here the 't Hooft constant is fixed by the experimental values of the η and η' meson masses with an accuracy of 10%. We also rely on a statistical analysis of numerous calculations of various processes carried out within the NJL model, and in this case the error can be estimated at the level of 10% [16]. Considering these facts, the accuracy of the model cannot exceed 15%.

Our result does not contradict the experimental value within the errors [34]:

$$Br(\tau \to K^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_\tau)_{exp} = (4.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}.$$
 (13)

The amplitude of the process $\tau \to \bar{K}^0 \pi^- \eta \nu_{\tau}$ almost coincides with the amplitude of the process $\tau \to K^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_{\tau}$. The only difference is the additional factor $\sqrt{2}$. Besides, in one of the subprocesses, the neutral meson K^{*0} takes place as the second intermediate resonance. As a result, one can obtain the following value for the partial decay width of this process:

$$Br(\tau \to \bar{K}^0 \pi^- \eta \nu_{\tau}) = (7.8 \pm 1.2) \times 10^{-5}.$$
 (14)

This result is also consistent with the experimental data within the theoretical and experimental uncertainties [34]:

$$Br(\tau \to \bar{K}^0 \pi^- \eta \nu_{\tau})_{exp} = (9.4 \pm 1.5) \times 10^{-5}.$$
 (15)

IV. THE AMPLITUDES OF DECAYS $\tau \to K[K\eta,\eta\eta]\nu_{\tau}$

The amplitudes of $\tau \to K[K\eta, \eta\eta]\nu_{\tau}$ decays, as in the case of the $\tau \to K\pi\eta\nu_{\tau}$ decay, contain contributions from contact diagrams and diagrams with intermediate axial-

vector, vector, and pseudoscalar mesons. The decay of $\tau \rightarrow KK\eta\nu_{\tau}$, unlike other processes, proceeds through intermediate nonstrange states a_1, ρ , and π . In this process, the second resonances are K^{*-} and K^{*0} mesons. In the case of decay with the production of two η mesons $\tau \rightarrow K\eta\eta\nu_{\tau}$, intermediate channels with strange mesons operate, in which the second resonance is only the K^{*-} meson.

The process amplitude of $\tau \to K^- K^0 \eta \nu_{\tau}$ can be represented as (6). Then the corresponding contributions take the form

$$\mathcal{M}_{A}^{\mu} = i \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{4} (3m_{u} - m_{s}) Z_{K} \frac{V_{ud}}{V_{us}} \Big[g_{\eta_{u}} \sin \bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2} g_{\eta_{s}} \cos \bar{\theta} \Big] BW_{a_{1}}^{q} [g^{\mu\nu}h_{a_{1}} - q^{\mu}q^{\nu}] \\ \times \Big\{ BW_{K^{*-\eta}}^{q_{K^{-\eta}}} \Big(\frac{1}{Z_{\eta}} p_{K^{-}} - p_{\eta} \Big)_{\nu} + BW_{K^{*0}}^{q_{K^{0}\eta}} \Big(\frac{1}{Z_{\eta}} p_{K^{0}} - p_{\eta} \Big)_{\nu} \Big\}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{V}^{\mu} = \sqrt{2} Z_{K} g_{K^{*}}^{2} \frac{V_{ud}}{V_{us}} m_{u} I_{cu} h_{\rho} \Big[g_{\eta_{u}} \sin \bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2} g_{\eta_{s}} \cos \bar{\theta} \Big] \frac{1 + Z_{\eta_{1}}}{Z_{\eta_{1}}} BW_{\rho}^{q} \Big\{ BW_{K^{*-\eta}}^{q_{K^{-\eta}}} + BW_{K^{*0}}^{q_{K^{0}\eta}} \Big\} e^{\mu\nu\lambda\delta} p_{K^{-\nu}} p_{K^{0}\lambda} p_{\eta\delta}, \\ \mathcal{M}_{P}^{\mu} = i \frac{3\sqrt{2}}{2} m_{u} \frac{V_{ud}}{V_{us}} Z_{K} \Big[g_{\eta_{u}} \sin \bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2} g_{\eta_{s}} \cos \bar{\theta} \Big] q^{\mu} BW_{\pi}^{q} \Big\{ BW_{K^{*-\eta}}^{q_{K^{-\eta}}} \Big(\frac{1}{Z_{K_{1}}} p_{K^{0}} + \frac{1}{Z_{a_{1}}} q \Big)_{\nu} \Big(\frac{1}{Z_{\eta}} p_{K^{-}} - p_{\eta} \Big)_{\nu} \\ + BW_{K^{*0}}^{q_{K^{0}\eta}} \Big(\frac{1}{Z_{K_{1}}} p_{K^{-}} + \frac{1}{Z_{a_{1}}} q \Big)_{\nu} \Big(\frac{1}{Z_{\eta}} p_{K^{0}} - p_{\eta} \Big)_{\nu} \Big\},$$
(16)

where p_{K^0} , p_{K^-} , and p_{η} are meson momenta in the final states; $q = p_{K^0} + p_{K^-} + p_{\eta}$ is the momentum of the first intermediate meson; $q_{K^-\eta} = p_{K^-} + p_{\eta}$; and $q_{K^0\eta} = p_{K^0} + p_{\eta}$. The factors h_{a_1} and h_{ρ} take the form

$$h_{a_{1}} = M_{a_{1}}^{2} - i\sqrt{q^{2}}\Gamma_{a_{1}} - 6m_{u}^{2},$$

$$h_{\rho} = M_{\rho}^{2} - i\sqrt{q^{2}}\Gamma_{\rho}.$$
(17)

Using the obtained amplitude, we get the following estimate for the branching fraction of $\tau \to K K \eta \nu_{\tau}$:

$$Br(\tau \to KK^{-}\eta\nu_{\tau}) = (1.6 \pm 0.2) \times 10^{-6}.$$
 (18)

This result does not exceed the experimental limit for the branching fraction [34]:

$$Br(\tau \to KK^{-}\eta\nu_{\tau})_{\exp} < 9.0 \times 10^{-6}.$$
 (19)

The axial-vector, vector, and pseudoscalar channels give the following contributions to the decay amplitude of $\tau \to K^* \eta \to K \eta \eta \nu_{\tau}$:

$$\mathcal{M}_{A}^{\mu} = -i\frac{3}{2}\frac{Z_{K}}{g_{K}}m_{s}\left(g_{\eta^{u}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}\frac{m_{u}}{m_{s}}g_{\eta^{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}\right)\left(g_{\eta_{u}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}g_{\eta_{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}\right)\left[(g^{\mu\nu}h_{K_{1}(1270)} - q^{\mu}q^{\nu})\mathrm{BW}_{K_{1}(1270)}^{q}\sin^{2}\alpha + (g^{\mu\nu}h_{K_{1}(1400)} - q^{\mu}q^{\nu})\mathrm{BW}_{K_{1}(1400)}^{q}\cos^{2}\alpha\right]\mathrm{BW}_{K^{*}}^{qK\eta}\left(\frac{1}{Z_{\eta}}p_{K} - p_{\eta}^{(1)}\right)_{\nu} + \left(p_{\eta}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow p_{\eta}^{(2)}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{V}^{\mu} = 6g_{K}m_{u}I_{cu}\left(g_{\eta^{u}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}\frac{m_{s}}{m_{u}}\frac{I_{cs}}{I_{cu}}g_{\eta^{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}\right)\left(g_{\eta_{u}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}g_{\eta_{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}\right)\frac{Z_{\eta} + 1}{Z_{\eta}}\mathrm{BW}_{K^{*}}^{q}h_{K^{*}}\mathrm{BW}_{K^{*}}^{qK\eta} \times e^{\mu\nu\lambda\delta}p_{\eta\nu}^{(1)}p_{K^{-}\lambda}p_{\eta\delta}^{(2)} + \left(p_{\eta}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow p_{\eta}^{(2)}\right),$$

$$\mathcal{M}_{P}^{\mu} = \frac{3}{4}\left(m_{s} + m_{u}\right)\frac{Z_{K}^{2}}{g_{K}}\left(g_{\eta_{u}}\sin\bar{\theta} + \sqrt{2}g_{\eta_{s}}\cos\bar{\theta}\right)^{2}q^{\mu}\mathrm{BW}_{K}^{q}\mathrm{BW}_{K^{*}}^{qK\eta}\left(\frac{1}{Z_{\eta}}q + p_{\eta}^{(1)}\right)_{\nu}\left(\frac{1}{Z_{\eta}}p_{K} - p_{\eta}^{(2)}\right)_{\nu} + \left(p_{\eta}^{(1)} \leftrightarrow p_{\eta}^{(2)}\right).$$
(20)

The obtained estimate for the branching fraction of $\tau \rightarrow K\eta\eta\nu_{\tau}$ in the NJL model is

$$Br(\tau \to K\eta\eta\nu_{\tau}) = 1.0 \times 10^{-8}.$$
 (21)

The model prediction for the $\tau \rightarrow K\eta\eta\nu_{\tau}$ decay also does not exceed the experimental limit for the branching fraction [34]:

$$Br(\tau \to K\eta\eta\nu_{\tau})_{\rm exp} < 3.0 \times 10^{-6}.$$
 (22)

V. CONCLUSION

In this paper, within the standard $U(3) \times U(3)$ chiral quark NJL model, theoretical descriptions of τ lepton decays into three pseudoscalar mesons involving a kaon and an η meson in the final state are given. The contributions from contact channels and intermediate channels with axialvector, vector, and pseudoscalar mesons are considered. The calculations show that the axial-vector channels play a decisive role in all the cases considered. The mixing of the K_{1A} and K_{1B} states is taken into account in the axial-vector channel with $K_1(1270)$ and $K_1(1400)$ intermediate mesons. The obtained results are in satisfactory agreement with the experimental data within the experimental and theoretical uncertainties.

As regards the estimate of the contribution from the box diagrams, taking it into account in the pseudoscalar decay channel of the decay $\tau \to K^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_{\tau}$ gives the value $\text{Br}(\tau \to K^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_{\tau})_{\text{box}} = 6.4 \times 10^{-8}$, which is 3 orders of magnitude lower than the experimental result. The box diagram in the vector channel does not exceed the contribution of the rest of the vector channels, which itself, as a rule, is 2 orders of magnitude lower than the experiment.

They were not explicitly taken into account in the amplitude due to their small contributions. Taking into account the box diagram in the axial-vector channel leads to going beyond the framework of the NJL model that was formulated in the lowest order in terms of the quark-meson coupling constants. This order corresponds to logarithmic divergent terms at the diagram vertices. The exception is anomalous vertices, which should be taken into account in this approximation. In the axial-vector channel, the box diagram does not contain divergent integrals and is not an anomalous vertex. Therefore, it goes beyond the NJL model approximation considered here.

From a theoretical point of view, the decay of $\tau \rightarrow$ $K^{-}\pi^{0}\eta\nu_{\tau}$ was previously described in [35]. However, a relatively small branching fraction $Br(\tau \rightarrow K^- \pi^0 \eta \nu_{\tau}) =$ 8.8×10^{-6} was obtained there. This was a consequence of the assumption that the vector channel gives the main contribution. This resulted in a small value for the partial decay width. Among other works close to the description of the decays considered here, we can note the paper [36]. In this work, the decay of $\tau \to K^* \eta \nu_{\tau}$ was described, which in our case is an intermediate process for the decays $\tau \rightarrow$ $K\pi\eta\nu_{\tau}$ and $\tau \to K^{-}\eta\eta\nu_{\tau}$. It was determined there that the axial-vector channel is dominant, and the branching fraction $Br(\tau \to K^{*-}\eta\nu_{\tau}) = 1.01 \times 10^{-4}$ was obtained. Calculations in the NJL model for this decay also showed the decisive role of the axial-vector channel with the branching fraction $Br(\tau \to K^{*-}\eta\nu_{\tau}) = (1.23 \pm 0.18) \times 10^{-4}$ [37] at experimental value $Br(\tau \to K^{*-}\eta\nu_{\tau}) = (1.38 \pm 0.15) \times 10^{-4}$ [34]. Thus, one of the main results of our work is the confirmation of the dominant role of the axial-vector channel in these processes.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research has been funded by the Science Committee of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan (Grant No. AP15473301).

- [1] Y. Nambu and G. Jona-Lasinio, Phys. Rev. 122, 345 (1961).
- [2] T. Eguchi, Phys. Rev. D 14, 2755 (1976).
- [3] D. Ebert and M. K. Volkov, Z. Phys. C 16, 205 (1983).
- [4] M. K. Volkov, Ann. Phys. (N.Y.) 157, 282 (1984).
- [5] M. K. Volkov, Fiz. Elem. Chastits At. Yadra 17, 433 (1986);
 [Sov. J. Part. Nucl. 17, 186 (1986)].
- [6] D. Ebert and H. Reinhardt, Nucl. Phys. **B271**, 188 (1986).
- [7] U. Vogl and W. Weise, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 27, 195 (1991).
- [8] S. P. Klevansky, Rev. Mod. Phys. 64, 649 (1992).
- [9] J. Bijnens, C. Bruno, and E. de Rafael, Nucl. Phys. B390, 501 (1993).

- [10] J. Prades, Z. Phys. C 63, 491 (1994); 11, 571(E) (1999).
- [11] T. Hatsuda and T. Kunihiro, Phys. Rep. 247, 221 (1994).
- [12] D. Ebert, H. Reinhardt, and M. K. Volkov, Prog. Part. Nucl. Phys. 33, 1 (1994).
- [13] J. Bijnens, Phys. Rep. 265, 369 (1996).
- [14] M. Buballa, Phys. Rep. 407, 205 (2005).
- [15] M. K. Volkov and A. E. Radzhabov, Phys. Usp. 49, 551 (2006).
- [16] M. K. Volkov and A. B. Arbuzov, Phys. Usp. 60, 643 (2017).
- [17] A. I. Vainshtein and V. I. Zakharov, Sov. Phys. Usp. 13, 73 (1970).
- [18] G. 't Hooft, Phys. Rev. Lett. 37, 8 (1976).

- [19] M. K. Volkov, M. Nagy, and V. L. Yudichev, Nuovo Cimento A **112**, 225 (1999).
- [20] K. Inami *et al.* (Belle Collaboration), Phys. Lett. B **672**, 209 (2009).
- [21] D. Buskulic *et al.* (ALEPH Collaboration), Z. Phys. C 74, 263 (1997).
- [22] M. Bishai *et al.* (CLEO Collaboration), Phys. Rev. Lett. 82, 281 (1999).
- [23] Y. P. Ivanov, A. A. Osipov, and M. K. Volkov, Z. Phys. C 49, 563 (1991).
- [24] L. Beldjoudi and T. N. Truong, Phys. Lett. B **344**, 419 (1995).
- [25] D. G. Dumm, P. Roig, A. Pich, and J. Portoles, Phys. Lett. B 685, 158 (2010).
- [26] M. Finkemeier and E. Mirkes, Z. Phys. C 69, 243 (1996).
- [27] M. K. Volkov, A. A. Pivovarov, and K. Nurlan, Phys. Rev. D 107, 116009 (2023).

- [28] J. J. Gomez-Cadenas, M. C. Gonzalez-Garcia, and A. Pich, Phys. Rev. D 42, 3093 (1990).
- [29] D. G. Dumm, P. Roig, A. Pich, and J. Portoles, Phys. Rev. D 81, 034031 (2010).
- [30] R. Decker, E. Mirkes, R. Sauer, and Z. Was, Z. Phys. C 58, 445 (1993).
- [31] M. K. Volkov, A. A. Pivovarov, and K. Nurlan, Eur. Phys. J. A 59, 175 (2023).
- [32] M. Suzuki, Phys. Rev. D 47, 1252 (1993).
- [33] M. K. Volkov and A. A. Pivovarov, Phys. Part. Nucl. Lett. 16, 565 (2019).
- [34] R. L. Workman, V. D. Burkert, V. Crede *et al.* (Particle Data Group), Prog. Theor. Exp. Phys. **2022**, 083C01 (2022).
- [35] A. Pich, Phys. Lett. B **196**, 561 (1987).
- [36] B. A. Li, Phys. Rev. D 55, 1436 (1997).
- [37] M. K. Volkov, A. A. Pivovarov, and K. Nurlan, Nucl. Phys. A1000, 121810 (2020).