



Article Effect of Rh Doping on Optical Absorption and Oxygen Evolution Reaction Activity on BaTiO₃ (001) Surfaces

Talgat M. Inerbaev ^{1,2}, Aisulu U. Abuova ^{1,*}, Zhadyra Ye. Zakiyeva ¹, Fatima U. Abuova ¹, Yuri A. Mastrikov ³, Maksim Sokolov ³, Denis Gryaznov ^{3,*} and Eugene A. Kotomin ³

- ¹ Department of Technical Physics, L.N. Gumilyov Eurasian National University, Astana 010000, Kazakhstan; talgat.inerbaev@gmail.com (T.M.I.); jadira.zakieva@mail.ru (Z.Y.Z.); abuova_fu@enu.kz (F.U.A.)
- ² Vernadsky Institute of Geochemistry and Analytical Chemistry, Russian Academy of Science, 119991 Moscow, Russia
- ³ Institute of Solid State Physics, University of Latvia, LV-1063 Riga, Latvia; yuri@umd.edu (Y.A.M.); makcsokolov@gmail.com (M.S.); kotomin@latnet.lv (E.A.K.)
- * Correspondence: aisulu-us1980@ya.ru (A.U.A.); denis.gryaznov@cfi.lu.lv (D.G.)

Abstract: In the present work, we investigate the potential of modified barium titanate (BaTiO₃), an inexpensive perovskite oxide derived from earth-abundant precursors, for developing efficient water oxidation electrocatalysts using first-principles calculations. Based on our calculations, Rh doping is a way of making BaTiO₃ absorb more light and have less overpotential needed for water to oxidize. It has been shown that a TiO₂-terminated BaTiO₃ (001) surface is more promising from the point of view of its use as a catalyst. Rh doping expands the spectrum of absorbed light to the entire visible range. The aqueous environment significantly affects the ability of Rh-doped BaTiO₃ to absorb solar radiation. After Ti \rightarrow Rh replacement, the doping ion can take over part of the electron density from neighboring oxygen ions. As a result, during the water oxidation reaction, rhodium ions can be in an intermediate oxidation state between 3+ and 4+. This affects the adsorption energy of reaction intermediates on the catalyst's surface, reducing the overpotential value.

Keywords: electrocatalysis; photocatalysis; energy storage and conversion; electrode materials; water splitting

1. Introduction

The growing demand for environmentally friendly and cost-effective energy sources has led to intensive research into various renewable energy sources. In this regard, photoelectrochemical hydrogen generation through water splitting has emerged as a promising avenue due to its affordability and environmental friendliness. In 1972, Honda and Fujishima first reported hydrogen production through photochemical water splitting using the semiconductor TiO_2 [1]. Since then, this phenomenon has been extensively studied, and numerous materials and water-splitting systems have been developed. In the process of photoelectrochemical (PEC) water splitting, hydrogen is produced from water by using sunlight and specialized semiconductors called PEC materials. These materials directly split water molecules into hydrogen and oxygen using light energy.

An integrated PEC system consists of light absorbers, electrocatalysts for the hydrogen evolution reaction and the oxygen evolution reaction (OER), electrolytes, and membranes. This system can be used to efficiently produce hydrogen fuel from sunlight, especially through the photo-electrolysis of water, generating sustainable hydrogen and oxygen. However, the key to achieving viable PEC solar water splitting lies in carefully selecting semiconductive electrode materials. These materials must have low band gaps and exceptional stability and be inexpensive. This strategic choice allows for the absorption of a greater amount of visible light, thereby enhancing the overall efficiency of the process.



Citation: Inerbaev, T.M.; Abuova, A.U.; Zakiyeva, Z.Y.; Abuova, F.U.; Mastrikov, Y.A.; Sokolov, M.; Gryaznov, D.; Kotomin, E.A. Effect of Rh Doping on Optical Absorption and Oxygen Evolution Reaction Activity on BaTiO₃ (001) Surfaces. *Molecules* **2024**, 29, 2707. https://doi.org/10.3390/ molecules29112707

Academic Editor: Qingguo Shao

Received: 16 April 2024 Revised: 28 May 2024 Accepted: 3 June 2024 Published: 6 June 2024



Copyright: © 2024 by the authors. Licensee MDPI, Basel, Switzerland. This article is an open access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution (CC BY) license (https:// creativecommons.org/licenses/by/ 4.0/). Perovskite-based materials are widely regarded as highly efficient photocatalysts for water splitting due to their adjustable electronic properties [2–6]. In addition, perovskite materials comprise environmentally benign and inexpensive elements abundant on Earth [7,8]. Recently, there has been increased focus on using perovskites as costeffective catalysts for water electrolysis due to a deeper comprehension of the rapport between electronic structure and reactivity [9,10]. As a new category of perovskite derivatives, layered Ruddlesden–Popper perovskites are currently attracting growing research attention [11,12].

BaTiO₃, utilized as a crystal in non-linear optics, dielectric ceramics, and piezoelectric materials, is among the ferroelectric oxides that have been the subject of extended scientific inquiry [13]. The optical band gap of pristine BaTiO₃ is 3.2-3.4 eV, much larger than the activation energy of 1.23 eV required for water splitting [14]. Therefore, the use of bare titania for solar energy harvesting is not efficient. Band gap excitation requires ultraviolet irradiation (UV); however, UV light accounts for only 4% of the solar spectrum compared to the 45% that is visible. So, any shift in optical response to the visible range will have a profound positive effect on the photocatalytic efficiencies of BaTiO₃ materials.

There have been reports of water electrolysis using $BaTiO_3$ electrodes [15,16]. Nisupported $BaTiO_3$ exhibits activity for CO_2 reformation [17], Pd-modified $BaTiO_3$ efficiently catalyzes NO_x reduction [18], and Cr-modified $BaTiO_3$ catalyzes the reduction of nitrobenzene and aniline [19]. Several methods are used for enhancing the electronic properties of barium titanate for electrocatalysts application. Catalyst performance could be, in principle, improved using different promoters like W, Mn, and Fe [20–22]. According to a theoretical study [23], Fe_{Ti} and Ni_{Ti} substitutions increased electrical conductivity and reduced overpotentials for the OER. Xie et al. [24] revealed experimentally that applying a 2% Mo doping to $BaTiO_3$ results in a reduction in the optical bandgap that activates its photocatalytic performance. Eu-doped $BaTiO_3$ nanoparticles show remarkable electrochemical performance towards the oxygen evolution reaction (OER) and excellent stability over 2000 cyclic voltammetry cycles [25].

Rh doping is one of the most effective methods that enables one to produce a visiblelight-responsive photocatalyst [26–28]. Related to BaTiO₃, rhodium-doped SrTiO₃ exhibits remarkable photocatalytic efficiency in the process of H₂ evolution from an aqueous methanol solution under visible light irradiation, outperforming all other visible-lightactivated oxide photocatalysts [27]. Bhat et al. [29] suggested that Rh-doped BaTiO₃ resulted in the formation of mid-gap electronic states, causing a reduction in the band gap of BaTiO₃ while simultaneously avoiding the formation of recombination centers. As seen from the studies mentioned above, the research on Rh-modified impacts on the catalyst properties of BaTiO₃ is limited and requires more detailed consideration. In light of these novel findings, in the current article, we investigate the degree to which a minor modification can be made to the chemical composition of the surface of barium titanate (BaTiO₃) to tune its catalytic reactivity. This study focuses on the optical absorption and catalytic performance towards OER of pure and Rh-modified tetragonal BaTiO₃ structures.

2. Theoretical Surface and Thermodynamic Model

2.1. Structure Models

In this work, the tetragonal BaTiO₃ phase, which is not energetically favorable at a temperature of zero but exists at room temperature, was used in the modeling conducted. Initial crystal structure was taken from the Materials Project database [30]. To make the (001) surface models of BaTiO₃, slabs with eleven layers of TiO₂ and BaO that are symmetric concerning the mirror plane were used. The end of one of these slabs had BaO planes for the crystal and was a supercell containing 108 atoms. The second slab terminated in TiO₂ planes containing 112 atoms. The (001) surface was chosen because it is the most energetically favorable for both TiO₂ and BaO terminations [31]. A vacuum layer measuring 15 Å thick was applied perpendicular to the slabs to avoid artificial interactions between the slab and its periodic images.

Even though these slabs are not stoichiometric, they maintain symmetry when the Ba/Ti atoms are substituted with Rh on the outermost layer, preventing the system from having a dipole moment. Due to periodic boundary conditions, this dipole moment may significantly distort the calculated energy values of the systems. These two slab ends (TiO₂ and BaO) are the only possible terminations of (001) surfaces for the BaTiO₃ perovskite lattice structure, as shown in Figure 1. Replacing the Ba atoms on a BaO-terminated surface results in the doping atom formally entering the Rh_{Ba}²⁺ state. Experimentally, Rh³⁺ and Rh⁴⁺ ions have been detected when BaTiO₃ is doped [32], so neutral OH groups were added to the surface to change Rh_{Ba}²⁺ into Rh_{Ba}³⁺. The present study focuses on the TiO₂-terminated surface because it has recently been shown that the BaO-terminated surface is also unstable under operating conditions [23].



Figure 1. (a) TiO₂- and (b) BaO-terminated (001) surfaces of tetragonal BaTiO₃.

2.2. Thermodynamic Description

Under the standard conditions (T = 298 K, p = 1 bar, pH = 0), the equilibrium thermodynamic potential for water oxidation required to produce oxygen ($H_2O \rightarrow 1/2O_2 + 4H^+ + 4e^-$) is 1.23 V vs RHE (the reference electrode is further omitted for brevity). In practice, a potential above 1.23 V is required for this reaction. For heterogeneous catalysts, this additional potential is referred to as the overpotential η .

The catalytic oxygen evolution reaction (OER) via water oxidation is divided into four fundamental reaction steps, wherein each step entails the exchange of an electron–proton pair (where * denotes the adsorption site of the catalyst) [33,34]:

$$2H_2O + * \rightleftharpoons OH^* + H_2O + H^+ + e^-$$
(1a)

$$OH^* + H_2O \rightleftharpoons O^* + H_2O + H^+ + e^-$$
(1b)

$$O^* + H_2 O \rightleftharpoons OOH^* + H^+ + e^-$$
(1c)

$$OOH^* \rightleftharpoons * + O_2 + H^+ + e^- \tag{1d}$$

Using the normal (computational) hydrogen electrode approach, the reaction free energy ΔG of the charge transfer reaction $H^* \rightleftharpoons * + H^+ + e^-$ under standard ambient conditions is equal to the ΔG of the $H^* \rightleftharpoons * + 1/2H_2$ reaction. The reactions' Gibbs free energy for steps ΔG_1 , ΔG_2 , ΔG_3 , and ΔG_4 in Equation (1) can be expressed as

$$\Delta G_{1} = \Delta G_{OH} - eU + \Delta G_{H+}(pH)$$

$$\Delta G_{2} = \Delta G_{O} - \Delta G_{OH} - eU + \Delta G_{H+}(pH)$$

$$\Delta G_{3} = \Delta G_{OOH} - \Delta G_{O} - eU + \Delta G_{H+}(pH)$$

$$\Delta G_{4} = 4.92[eV] - \Delta G_{OOH} - eU + \Delta G_{H+}(pH)$$
(2)

where *U* is the potential measured against a normal hydrogen electrode (NHE) under standard conditions. The free energy change of the protons relative to the NHE at non-zero pH is represented by the Nernst equation as $\Delta G_{H+}(pH) = -k_BT \ln(10) \times pH$. The Gibbs free energy differences in Equation (2) include zero-point energy (ZPE) and enthropy corrections according to $\Delta G_i = \Delta E_i - T\Delta S_i + \Delta ZPE_i - eU$. Entropic contributions under standard conditions were taken from the CRC Handbook [35]. The Supporting Information

$$\Delta E_{\text{OH}} = E(\text{OH}^*) - E(*) - \left[E(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - \frac{1}{2}E(\text{H}_2) \right]$$

$$\Delta E_{\text{O}} = E(\text{O}^*) - E(*) - \left[E(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - E(\text{H}_2) \right]$$

$$\Delta E_{\text{OOH}} = E(\text{OOH}^*) - E(*) - \left[2E(\text{H}_2\text{O}) - \frac{3}{2}E(\text{H}_2) \right]$$
(3)

The theoretical overpotential can then be readily defined as

$$\eta = \max[\Delta G_i]/e - 1.23 \text{ (V)} \tag{4}$$

The overpotential represented by Equation (4) is simply a thermodynamic quantity. Due to the lack of activation barriers, experimentally determined overpotential values cannot be directly compared with theoretical ones. In addition, experiments are usually carried out using electrodes containing nanoparticles of the used material, whose active surface's exact value is difficult to determine.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1. Effect of Doping on Ground-State Electronic Properties

Geometry modification. The computed lattice parameters for the bulk tetragonal BaTiO₃ are $a_0 = 4.0381$ Å and $c_0 = 4.0999$ Å. Several of the experimental data that are accessible are comparable to our findings: a_0 falls within the range of 3.9860 Å to 3.9905 Å, and c_0 spans from 4.0170 Å to 4.0412 Å [36–40].

The TiO₂-terminanted surface replacement of Ti⁴⁺ with Rh⁴⁺ leads to slight distortion of the lattice, as shown in Figure 2a–c. Each surface Ti⁴⁺ ion is surrounded by four neighboring surface oxygen ions (O1) and one nearest-subsurface oxygen (O2). All Ti⁴⁺-O1 distances are the same and are 2.2027 Å, while the Ti⁴⁺-O2 bond lengths are 1.9086 Å. After the Ti⁴⁺→Rh⁴⁺ substitution, the Rh_{Ti}⁴⁺-O1 bond lengths are 2.1046 Å, and the Rh_{Ti}⁴⁺-O2 distance is 2.3089 Å. Substitution energy, Ti⁴⁺→Rh⁴⁺, is calculated as follows:

$$E_{def} = (E(Rh-doped) + E(Ti) - E(undoped) - E(Rh))/2,$$

where *E*(undoped) and *E*(Rh-doped) are the calculated energies of the pristine and doped slabs, and *E*(Ti) and *E*(Rh) are the energies per atom for metals *hcp*-Ti and *bcc*-Rh. The calculations yield the value Edef = 7.212 eV per Rh atom. This value is typical for this type of substitution. Thus, the previously calculated value of the Ti⁴⁺ \rightarrow Ru⁴⁺ substitution energy is 6.424 eV per Ru atom [41].

In the case of Ba²⁺ \rightarrow Rh³⁺ + OH⁻ substitution, a much stronger distortion of the surface structure occurs. After geometry optimization, Rh_{Ba}³⁺ ions are displaced, moving from the surface layer deep in the slab to the subsurface layer, forming bonds with oxygen ions in this layer (Figure 2d–f). In this case, in the next atomic layer under the Rh³⁺ ion, Ba²⁺ is present. This finding shows that even if there were a BaO-terminated surface, the doping ion Rh_{Ba}³⁺ would not be on the surface layer. This would make this site less likely to be able to catalyze water-splitting reactions.

Electronic density of states. The HSE06-calculated electronic structures of the doped and undoped models are schematically summarized in Figure 3. Figure 3 presents the total (TDOS) and partial densities of states of the bare and doped TiO₂- and BaOterminated surfaces. For both bare surfaces, the O-2p states predominately form a valence band, whereas the Ti-4d states form the conduction band minimum. The O- $2p \rightarrow$ Ti-3d transitions thus determine optical absorption for undoped BaTiO₃. The calculated band gaps for the undoped models are 2.8 eV and 3.0 eV for the TiO₂- and BaO-terminated surfaces, respectively. The different stoichiometries of the studied models account for this variation in the calculated bandgap values. However, the bandgap values obtained from the DOS calculations do not coincide with the results of



the optical spectra calculations, which will be shown below when analyzing the optical absorption spectra.

Figure 2. (Left): Top view of the outermost layer of TiO₂-terminated (**a**) undoped and (**b**) Rh-doped surfaces. The numbers indicate the distance (Å) between the (**a**) Ti and (**b**) Rh atoms and the nearest surface oxygen atoms (O1). (**c**) Side view of a doped TiO₂-terminated surface (Ba ions omitted); the numbers indicate the interatomic distance between the metal atoms (Ti: black, Rh: pink) and subsurface oxygen (O2). (**Right**): Top view of the two upper layers of BaO-terminated (**d**) undoped and (**e**) Rh-doped surfaces. Side view of a doped BaO-terminated surface (**f**).

Doping the TiO₂-terminated surface results in additional levels due to the Rh-4*d* states appearing in the band gap (Figure 3b). The Rh⁴⁺ ion also changes the electronic states of the oxygen atoms that are closest to it. This causes the O-2*p* peaks to appear in the calculated DOS near the valence band maximum. This effect also results in an additional reduction in the band gap. When doping a BaO-terminated surface, in addition to the Rh-4*d* states in the bandgap, the Ti-3*d* states appear near the minimum of the conduction band; titanium ions close to the Rh ion in the subsurface layer give rise to these states. As Rh⁴⁺ shifts from the surface to the layer below, it breaks the bonds between the dopant and the surface oxygen ions. This creates more O-2*p*-induced peaks in the DOS near the top of the valence band (Figure 3d).



Figure 3. Total and partial densities of states for bare and doped TiO_2 - and BaO-terminated surfaces. Top: (**a**) undoped TiO_2 -terminated surface; (**b**) Rh-doped TiO_2 -terminated surface. The contribution of the surface nearest to the oxygen atoms of Rh, O(Rh), is highlighted. Bottom: (**c**) undoped BaO-terminated surface; (**d**) Rh-doped BaO-terminated surface. E_F : Fermi energy.

3.2. Optical Absorption

The effect of doping on optical absorption is shown in Figure 4. Both dry and wet surfaces are considered. The presence of Rh^{4+} ions (Figure 4a) on the TiO₂-terminated surface substantially changes the optical absorption spectrum due to the DOS changes discussed above. Although the DOS calculations for an undoped surface yield a band gap of 2.8 eV, the optical absorption threshold is 3.35 eV (370 nm). This difference exists because for optical transitions of the O- $2p \rightarrow$ Ti-3d type in the energy range of 2.8–3.1 eV, the oscillator strengths calculated using Equation (8) are equal to zero or assume negligibly small values. As a result, the optical absorption threshold value for the undoped structure is in good agreement with experimental data [32]. The spin-down O- $2p \rightarrow$ Rh-4d transitions on a Rh-doped surface absorb light in the long-wavelength range. In the short-wavelength range, optical absorption occurs due to the $O-2p \rightarrow Ti-3d$ transitions. The optical absorption peak at 900 nm is suppressed in the aqueous environment and the absorption at 450 nm is significantly reduced. In this case, optical absorption increases in the 500–550 nm range. A comparison of the calculated data and the experimental results obtained after the 2 mol% doping of BaTiO₃ is presented [42]. The agreement between the theoretical and experimental results can be considered good since modeling shows that in the case of replacing the surface Ti⁴⁺ ion with Rh_{Ti}⁴⁺, optical absorption occurs in a wide range of frequencies of electromagnetic radiation. Up to this stage, our model does not consider the role that Rh⁴⁺ ions inside the slab might play in optical absorption. In this case, these ions would not be on the sample's surface, and aqueous media would not affect their electronic

states. Below, we present an analysis and its results for the situation when the Rh ions reside inside the slab.

The optical absorption threshold value for the BaO-terminated surface is the same as that found by directly estimating the bandgap value from the DOS calculation and amounts to 415 nm (2.99 eV). The optical absorption at longer wavelengths is also due to the $O-2p \rightarrow Rh-4d$ and $O-2p \rightarrow Ti-3d$ transitions. In this case, in contrast to the TiO₂-terminated surface, in the 400–520 nm wavelength range (2.4–3.1 eV), there is a contribution from the Rh-4*d* \rightarrow Ti-3*d* transitions. This finding agrees with experimental data [32]. The transitions discussed here suggest that the electronic transitions from the Rh³⁺ ions to the conduction band are possible, even though Rh⁴⁺ usually plays the role of a trapping center [43].



Figure 4. Optical absorption of undoped and Rh-doped (**a**) TiO₂- and (**b**) BaO-terminated surfaces. Black and blue lines correspond to dry and wet surfaces, respectively. The solid lines illustrate total optical absorption, while dashed and dotted lines correspond to the contributions of spin-up (UP) and spin-down (DW) electronic states. Orange lines refer to experimental data adapted from Ref. [32].

Since the BaO-terminated surface was probably unstable but we knew that the Rh³⁺ ions help with optical absorption, we also looked at a model where the Rh ions were put inside the BaO-terminated slab instead of the slab surface. To ensure the Rh³⁺ oxidation state was obtained, neutral OH groups were added to the surface.

The results of geometry optimization, DOS, and optical absorption calculations are presented in Figure 5. Figure 5a shows how the atomic structure changes when rhodium is added after the structure's geometry has been optimized. As in the case of the BaO-terminated surface (Figure 2e,f), the Rh_{Ba}³⁺ ion is shifted towards the TiO₂ plane. Unlike in the previous case, the displacement occurs in a direction parallel to the surface plane since the lattice parameters in this direction are lower than those perpendicular to the direction. The DOS analysis (Figure 5b) shows that the nature of the bottom of the conduction band is due to the Rh-4*d* and Ti-3*d* levels. The Ti-3*d* states are localized on Ti atoms located near Rh. So, optical absorption (Figure 5c) begins at 550 nm (2.25 eV) and is caused by transitions from O-2*p* to Rh-4d for the spin-down states. Furthermore, at wavelengths of approximately 500 nm (2.5 eV) and shorter, Rh-4*d* \rightarrow Ti-3*d* transitions are possible. Thus, the experimentally observed Rh-4*d* \rightarrow Ti-3*d* transitions [32] are most likely caused by Rh³⁺ ions inside the sample.



Figure 5. (a) Change in the arrangement of ions in the slab after Ba was replaced with Rh; (b) electronic DOS for relaxed slab; (c) optical absorption spectrum for the model investigated. Dashed and dotted lines represent optical absorption by spin-up and spin-down states. The solid line illustrates total absorption.

3.3. OER over Pristine and Rh-Modified BaTiO₃

The above results indicate that Rh doping dramatically improves the ability of BaTiO₃ to absorb sunlight in the visible range. The TiO₂-terminated surface is also more stable regarding the Ti⁴⁺ \rightarrow Rh⁴⁺ change, while the Ba-terminated surface's Rh³⁺ ion position is less stable. It was previously shown that the TiO₂-terminated surface is stable under operating conditions. In contrast, the BaO-terminated surface is unstable concerning Ba dissolution at a wide range of pH values and potentials [32]. Based on these results, we evaluated the reaction-free energy profile for the OER on the TiO₂-terminated surface of BaTiO₃, as described in the Models Section 2.

Figure 6 displays the free energies of water oxidation reactions on a pure and Rhmodified TiO₂-terminated BaTiO₃ surface at zero potential and equilibrium potential of 1.23 V vs RHE. (Equation (1)). The oxidation reaction of a single water molecule is considered both on a dry surface and considering the influence of the aqueous environment. On a bare TiO₂-terminated surface, an overpotential of 1.18 V was found when the surface was dry. This value is close to the earlier-reported one calculated on the same surface, equal to 1.22 V [23]. Due to the aqueous environment, this value reduced to 1.08 V. For the Rh-modified surface, the overpotential values were 0.45 and 0.23 V for dry and wet surfaces, respectively, which implies that Rh doping improves catalytic activity. The obtained values are close to those for NiO_x films, in which cerium was used as a dopant and gold was employed as a metal support [44].



(a)

Figure 6. Standard free energy diagram for the OER at zero potential (U = 0, dotted lines) and equilibrium potential for oxygen evolution (U = 1.23 V, solid lines) at pH = 0 and T = 298 K. Black and blue lines show data for dry and wet surfaces, respectively. Dashed lines correspond to the ideal catalyst.

Since the efficiency of the photocatalyst in the process of the water oxidation reaction is determined by the energies of the interaction of intermediate reaction products with the surface (Equation (1)), it is necessary to analyze the oxidation states of active sites during the water-splitting process. The results regarding the Bader analysis and the spin states of active sites on the surface of the catalyst and the intermediate reaction products are given in Table 1. The number of active sites on the surface also includes the nearest neighboring ions, O1 and O2, since their charges and spin states change on the doped surface during the reactions represented by Equation (1).

Table 1. TiO₂-terminated surface. Calculated Bader charges q (in |e|) and local magnetic moments (in $\mu_{\rm B}$) for the Ti (undoped surface) and Rh (doped surface) empty sites and as well as sites occupied by O, OH, and OOH.

TiO ₂ Surface									
		Empty site (*)		OH*		O *		OOH*	
Dest	Species	q	μ	q	μ	q	μ	q	μ
	Ti	2.15	0	2.25	0	2.10	0	2.22	0
Diy	O1	-1.18	0	-1.15	0	-1.15	0	-1.13	0
	O2	-1.22	0	-1.24	0	-1.19	0	-1.24	0
	Adsorbant	-	-	-0.49	0	-0.74	0.53	-0.31	0.14
	Ti	2.24	0	2.24	0	2.12	0	2.21	0
TAT 4	O1	-1.22	0	-1.16	0	-1.19	0	-1.15	0
vvet	O2	-1.23	0	-1.24	0	-1.22	0	-1.24	0
	Adsorbant	-	-	-0.52	0	-0.91	0.48	-0.35	0.13
	TiO ₂ :Rh surface								
	Rh	1.51	1.59	1.77	0.85	1.73	1.04	1.64	0.73
Draz	O1	-1.06	0.17	-1.04	0.11	-1.03	0.129	-1.02	0.13
Diy	O2	-1.11	0.15	-1.20	0.03	-1.19	0.014	-1.18	0.01
	Adsorbant	-	-	-0.37	0.86	-0.33	1.04	-0.19	0.28
Wet	Rh	1.49	1.60	1.76	0.84	1.73	1.08	1.63	0.74
	O1	-1.08	0.17	-1.08	0.11	-1.05	0.14	-1.05	0.13
	O2	-1.10	0.15	-1.11	0.03	-1.20	0.019	-1.20	0.01
	Adsorbant	-	-	-0.43	0.84	-0.46	1.08	-0.23	0.29

In the case of an unmodified TiO₂-terminated surface, the charge and spin states of the catalyst ions change slightly during the oxidation of water, both in the case of dry and wet surfaces. The active site of a titanium ion is always in the 4+ oxidation state, and its nearest neighbors are in the O^{2-} state. An aqueous environment noticeably affects only the intermediate reaction product O^* , reflected in a decrease in overpotential at this reaction step. In a sense, the electronic Ti⁴⁺ ion is too rigid in terms of its properties and cannot adjust its electronic structure to optimize the water-splitting process. Surface modification with Rh solves this problem.

When replacing the surface titanium ion with rhodium, the dopant also affects its nearest neighboring O1 and O2 ions. The data in Table 1 show that as the absolute value of the Bader charge on the O1 and O2 ions decreases, a non-zero magnetic moment also appears on these ions. This indicates a charge transfer from the O1 and O2 ions to the dopant. The spin state of the Rh ion also shows that it is not in the 4+ oxidation state since in this latter case its formal magnetic moment is 1 μ_B in the low-spin state (4d⁵). The present calculations suggested a value of 1.59 μ_B for the spin magnetic moment of Rh, which means Rh is in the 3+ oxidation state; i.e., the formal magnetic moment is 2 μ_B in the intermediate spin state. This deviation from the formal value is associated with the charge transfer from O1 and O2 to the doping cation. During the oxidation of water, the magnetic moment of Rh is 1.04 (O*) and decreases to 0.85 (OH*) and 0.75 (OOH*) μ_B . This can be interpreted as the oxidation state of Rh undergoing a change from 3+ (O*) to 4+ (OH* and OOH*).

Because of the water oxidation reaction, the oxidation state and spin magnetic moment of the ions on the catalyst surface change, and the reaction intermediates change with them. The ability of Rh and the surrounding ions on the surface to change their electronic properties leads to more efficient water oxidation. The influence of the aqueous environment significantly affects the behavior of OH* species, which, in turn, leads to a decrease in the overpotential.

Figure 7 shows how the electronic charge density redistributes between the dry TiO2-terminated surface and the reaction intermediates. The charge transfer ΔQ can be calculated using the formula given below:

$$\Delta Q = Q_{\rm SA} - Q_{\rm S} - Q_{\rm A},\tag{5}$$

Here, Q_{SA} , Q_S , and Q_A represent the spatial charge density distributions for systems wherein the intermediate reaction products are adsorbed on the surface of the catalyst, the bare catalyst surface, and the adsorbed species treated separately from the catalyst, respectively. The oxygen atoms of the adsorbed species are mainly responsible for the charge transfer. These findings are summarized in Table 1.

We compared the geometry of optimized undoped and doped TiO2-terminated catalyst surfaces with adsorbed reaction intermediates. Table 2 summarizes the distances between the adsorbents and the surface. In all cases, doping decreases the distance between the adsorbent and the catalyst surface, except for OOH species adsorption. There is a significant difference between the undoped and doped surfaces in regard to the orientation of the adsorbed OH group. In the case of adsorption on an undoped surface, the angle $TiOH = 128^\circ$, while in the case of a doped surface, the OH group is directed perpendicular to the surface, and RhOH = 180°.

To illustrate the effect of an aqueous environment, we calculated the spatial distribution of charge density difference between wet and dry TiO_2 -terminated surfaces; this distribution was calculated as follows:

$$\Delta Q = Q_{wet} - Q_{dry} \tag{6}$$

Figure 8 illustrates the obtained results. The aqueous environment leads to a transfer of electron density from surface oxygen ions to titanium ions. In an aqueous environment, the doped structure experiences a decrease in the electron density on Rh.



Figure 7. Equation (5) calculates the charge transfer between the TiO₂-termiated catalyst surface and the intermediate reaction products. A side view of the surface of the top two layers is presented. OH adsorbed on (**a**) undoped and (**b**) Rh-doped surfaces; O adsorbed on (**c**) undoped and (**d**) Rh-doped surfaces; and HOO adsorbed on (**e**) undoped and (**f**) Rh-doped surfaces. The yellow and blue clouds indicate the isocontours of positive and negative values of the electron charge density, respectively.

Table 2. Distar	nce (A	A) between a	adsorbents and	l undoped	and dope	ed TiO2-te	erminated	catalysts'	surfaces
-----------------	--------	--------------	----------------	-----------	----------	------------	-----------	------------	----------

Surface		Adsorbant	
Surface	0	ОН	ООН
Undoped	1.655	1.836	2.055
Rh-doped	1.754	1.897	1.902

Although the predicted overpotential values are small, in practice, implementing an electrode with such indicators will take much work. Here, we consider the ideal case of doping wherein all Rh ions are located on the surface of $BaTiO_3$ at 1.8 at.% doping. In practice, a significant portion of the doping atoms will occupy sites inside the nanoparticles.

When the degree of doping is raised to increase the concentration of surface Rh ions, the hexagonal $BaTiO_3$ phase forms [32]. The catalytic properties of the hexagonal phase still need to be studied.



Figure 8. Equation (6) calculates the charge transfer between wet and dry TiO_2 -termiated catalyst surfaces. Top view of the upper layer of the (**a**) undoped and (**b**) Rh-doped surfaces; side view of the two upper layers of the (**c**) undoped and (**d**) Rh-doped surfaces. The yellow and blue clouds indicate the isocontours of positive and negative values of the electron charge density, respectively.

Optimizing catalyst activity hinges on the discovery of a catalyst with a significantly larger surface area and a higher concentration of dopant atoms. These things are very important for making the tetragonal phase of $BaTiO_3$ doped with Rh function as efficiently as possible. Ref. [32] serves as a valuable guide for determining the optimal doping level. The results show that adding 8 mol% Rh changes 85% of the tetragonal $BaTiO_3$ phase into a hexagonal structure. When synthesizing $BaTiO_3$ crystals with a Rh content below the specified level, grinding the crystals becomes necessary. This process enhances the working surface area of the catalyst, thereby increasing the likelihood of detecting Rh atoms on the surfaces of the resulting nanoparticles. By following this procedure, we can secure the most efficient catalyst based on $BaTiO_3$ doped with Rh.

4. Computational Details

All the calculations were performed with the ab initio plane wave computer code VASP [45,46] using the projector-augmented plane wave (PAW) formalism [47]. Optimization of the geometry of the studied models and calculation of the thermodynamics of the water-splitting reaction were carried out using the GGA-PBE (Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof) exchange correlation functional [48]. The on-site Coulomb correlation of *d*-electrons was taken into account by employing Hubbard corrections in the Dudarev parametrization [49] with a $U_{\text{eff}} = U_c - J$ value of 2.6 eV for titanium [50]. We must admit, on the basis of our test calculations, that the application of the U_{eff} -parameter to Ti/Rh does not change the main conclusions/results regarding the surface free energy diagrams. Contrarily, the calculations of optical properties require accurate electronic band structures. We therefore applied the

hybrid HSE06 density functional to calculate the electronic density of states and optical absorption from the DFT+U optimized charge density [51]. The optical properties were analyzed based on the transition dipole moment matrix elements:

$$D_{\sigma,ij} = e \left\langle \psi_{\sigma,i}^{KS} \middle| r \middle| \psi_{\sigma,j}^{KS} \right\rangle \tag{7}$$

for transitions between the initial state (σ ,i) and final state (σ ,j) calculated on the basis of Kohn–Sham orbitals $\psi_{\sigma,i}^{KS}$, where σ is a spin index, i(j) labels orbitals, and *e* is an elementary charge. The transition dipole moment was used for calculating oscillator strength:

$$f_{\sigma,ij} = \frac{4\pi m \nu_{\sigma,ij}}{3e^2\hbar} \left| D_{\sigma,ij} \right|^2,\tag{8}$$

where *m* and \hbar are the electron mass and Planck constant, respectively, and abd $v_{\sigma,ij}$ is the frequency of transition between the *i*th and *j*th states. Using the oscillator strengths and assuming a lack of spin–orbit coupling, the absorption spectra can then be determined as follows: $\alpha(\nu) = \alpha_{\alpha}(\nu) + \alpha_{\beta}(\nu)$, where $\alpha_{\sigma}(\nu) = \sum_{ij} f_{\sigma,ij} \delta(\nu - v_{\sigma,ij})$.

The thermodynamic corrections for the solvation effect were calculated using VASPsol [52], allowing us to consider surface wetting through the water continuum model and distinguish between dry and wet conditions. If the continuum model applied, the wet conditions were stated. The Monkhorst–Pack grid-sampling mesh used for the bulk calculations had dimensions of $2 \times 2 \times 2$, and that for the slab calculations had dimensions of $2 \times 2 \times 2$, and that for the slab calculations had dimensions of $2 \times 2 \times 1$, with a cutoff energy value of 520 eV. The charge distribution on the ions was studied using Bader topological analysis [42]. All calculations were carried out while taking spin polarization into account, except in the case of bare undoped slabs. It has been shown that it is important to consider spin polarized electronic structures since adsorbed species have a spin moment [53].

5. Conclusions

The viability of the Rh-modified TiO_2 -terminated $BaTiO_3$ (001) surface for developing efficient water oxidation catalysts to be used as photoanodes in PEC systems was examined using first-principles calculations. According to our results, Rh doping has a double effect on the properties of $BaTiO_3$. On the one hand, doping causes the material under study to absorb sunlight in almost the entire visible range. On the other hand, the surface Rh ion acts as an excellent catalytic center, significantly lowering the overpotential values of the electrochemical reaction. It has been shown that considering the aqueous environment influences both effects.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization, D.G., A.U.A., E.A.K. and Y.A.M.; methodology, A.U.A. and F.U.A.; software, T.M.I. and D.G.; validation, M.S., Y.A.M. and Z.Y.Z.; formal analysis, A.U.A. and T.M.I.; investigation, Z.Y.Z., Y.A.M., A.U.A. and Y.A.M.; resources, Y.A.M., T.M.I. and E.A.K.; writing—original draft preparation, T.M.I.; writing—review and editing, Y.A.M. and E.A.K.; visualization, A.U.A.; supervision, T.M.I.; project administration, F.U.A.; funding acquisition, T.M.I. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript.

Funding: This work was carried out with the financial support of the Ministry of Science and Higher Education of the Republic of Kazakhstan: AP14869492 "Development of nanocrystalline metal oxide catalysts for hydrogen production". EK thanks M-Era.NET project HetCat. The calculations were partly performed at the High-Performance Computing Center Stuttgart (HLRS) within the project DEFTD 12939. YM and DG thank the Latvian Council of Science, project No. lzp-2021/1-0203. The work by T.M.I. was performed under the state assignment of GEOKHI RAS. T.I. also thanks the Center for Computational Materials Science (IMR, Tohoku University) for providing access to the supercomputing system used to perform the simulations. The Institute of Solid-State Physics, University of Latvia (Latvia), as a Center of Excellence, has received funding from the European Union's Horizon 2020 Framework Program H2020-WIDESPREAD-01-2016-2017-Teaming Phase2 under grant agreement No. 739508, project CAMART².

Institutional Review Board Statement: Not applicable.

Informed Consent Statement: Not applicable.

Data Availability Statement: Data are contained within the article.

Conflicts of Interest: There are no conflicts to declare.

References

- Fujishima, A.; Honda, K. Electrochemical photolysis of water at a semiconductor electrode. *Nature* 1972, 238, 37–38. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Kudo, A.; Miseki, Y. Heterogeneous photocatalyst materials for water splitting. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2009, 38, 253–278. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 3. Suntivich, J.; May, K.J.; Gasteiger, H.A.; Goodenough, J.B.; Shao-Horn, Y. A perovskite oxide optimized for oxygen evolution catalysis from molecular orbital principles. *Science* **2011**, *334*, 1383–1385. [CrossRef]
- Castelli, I.E.; Landis, D.D.; Thygesen, K.S.; Dahl, S.; Chorkendorff, I.; Jaramillo, T.F.; Jacobsen, K.W. New cubic perovskites for one-and two-photon water splitting using the computational materials repository. *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2012, *5*, 9034–9043. [CrossRef]
- Luo, J.; Im, J.-H.; Mayer, M.T.; Schreier, M.; Nazeeruddin, M.K.; Park, N.-G.; Tilley, S.D.; Fan, H.J.; Grätzel, M. Water photolysis at 12.3% efficiency via perovskite photovoltaics and Earth-abundant catalysts. *Science* 2014, 345, 1593–1596. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Xu, X.; Wang, W.; Zhou, W.; Shao, Z. Recent Advances in Novel Nanostructuring Methods of Perovskite Electrocatalysts for Energy-Related Applications. *Small Methods* 2018, 2, 1800071. [CrossRef]
- Royer, S.; Duprez, D.; Can, F.; Courtois, X.; Batiot-Dupeyrat, C.; Laassiri, S.; Alamdari, H. Perovskites as substitutes of noble metals for heterogeneous catalysis: Dream or reality. *Chem. Rev.* 2014, 114, 10292–10368. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 8. Fan, Z.; Sun, K.; Wang, J. Perovskites for photovoltaics: A combined review of organic–inorganic halide perovskites and ferroelectric oxide perovskites. *J. Mater. Chem. A* 2015, *3*, 18809–18828. [CrossRef]
- Mefford, J.T.; Rong, X.; Abakumov, A.M.; Hardin, W.G.; Dai, S.; Kolpak, A.M.; Johnston, K.P.; Stevenson, K.J. Water electrolysis on La_{1-x}Sr_xCoO_{3-δ} perovskite electrocatalysts. *Nat. Commun.* 2016, 7, 11053. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 10. Rong, X.; Parolin, J.; Kolpak, A.M. A fundamental relationship between reaction mechanism and stability in metal oxide catalysts for oxygen evolution. *Acs Catal.* **2016**, *6*, 1153–1158. [CrossRef]
- 11. Tang, J.; Xu, X.; Tang, T.; Zhong, Y.; Shao, Z. Perovskite-Based Electrocatalysts for Cost-Effective Ultrahigh-Current-Density Water Splitting in Anion Exchange Membrane Electrolyzer Cell. *Small Methods* **2022**, *6*, 2201099. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 12. Xu, X.; Pan, Y.; Zhong, Y.; Ran, R.; Shao, Z. Ruddlesden–Popper perovskites in electrocatalysis. *Mater. Horiz.* 2020, 7, 2519–2565. [CrossRef]
- 13. Buscaglia, V.; Buscaglia, M.T.; Canu, G. BaTiO₃-based ceramics: Fundamentals, properties and applications. *Encycl. Mater. Tech. Ceram. Glas.* **2021**, *3*, 311–344.
- 14. Wemple, S. Polarization Fluctuations and the Optical-Absorption Edge in BaTiO₃. Phys. Rev. B 1970, 2, 2679. [CrossRef]
- 15. Kennedy, J.H.; Frese, K.W. Photo-oxidation of water at barium titanate electrodes. J. Electrochem. Soc. 1976, 123, 1683. [CrossRef]
- 16. Nasby, R.; Quinn, R.K. Photoassisted electrolysis of water using a BaTiO₃ electrode. *Mater. Res. Bull.* 1976, 11, 985–992. [CrossRef]
- Hayakawa, T.; Suzuki, S.; Nakamura, J.; Uchijima, T.; Hamakawa, S.; Suzuki, K.; Shishido, T.; Takehira, K. CO₂ reforming of CH4 over Ni/perovskite catalysts prepared by solid phase crystallization method. *Appl. Catal. A Gen.* 1999, 183, 273–285. [CrossRef]
- Ko, S.; Tang, X.; Gao, F.; Wang, C.; Liu, H.; Liu, Y. Selective catalytic reduction of NOx with NH3 on Mn, Co-BTC-derived catalysts: Influence of thermal treatment temperature. *J. Solid State Chem.* 2022, 307, 122843. [CrossRef]
- Srilakshmi, C.; Saraf, R.; Prashanth, V.; Rao, G.M.; Shivakumara, C. Structure and catalytic activity of Cr-doped BaTiO₃ nanocatalysts synthesized by conventional oxalate and microwave assisted hydrothermal methods. *Inorg. Chem.* 2016, 55, 4795–4805. [CrossRef]
- Upadhyay, S.; Shrivastava, J.; Solanki, A.; Choudhary, S.; Sharma, V.; Kumar, P.; Singh, N.; Satsangi, V.R.; Shrivastav, R.; Waghmare, U.V. Enhanced photoelectrochemical response of BaTiO₃ with Fe doping: Experiments and first-principles analysis. *J. Phys. Chem. C* 2011, *115*, 24373–24380. [CrossRef]
- 21. Nageri, M.; Kumar, V. Manganese-doped BaTiO₃ nanotube arrays for enhanced visible light photocatalytic applications. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* **2018**, *213*, 400–405. [CrossRef]
- 22. Demircivi, P.; Simsek, E.B. Visible-light-enhanced photoactivity of perovskite-type W-doped BaTiO₃ photocatalyst for photodegradation of tetracycline. *J. Alloys Compd.* **2019**, 774, 795–802. [CrossRef]
- 23. Artrith, N.; Sailuam, W.; Limpijumnong, S.; Kolpak, A.M. Reduced overpotentials for electrocatalytic water splitting over Fe- and Ni-modified BaTiO₃. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2016**, *18*, 29561–29570. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 24. Xie, P.; Yang, F.; Li, R.; Ai, C.; Lin, C.; Lin, S. Improving hydrogen evolution activity of perovskite BaTiO₃ with Mo doping: Experiments and first-principles analysis. *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy* **2019**, *44*, 11695–11704. [CrossRef]
- Tanwar, N.; Upadhyay, S.; Priya, R.; Pundir, S.; Sharma, P.; Pandey, O. Eu-doped BaTiO₃ perovskite as an efficient electrocatalyst for oxygen evolution reaction. *J. Solid State Chem.* 2023, 317, 123674. [CrossRef]

- 26. Maeda, K. Rhodium-doped barium titanate perovskite as a stable p-type semiconductor photocatalyst for hydrogen evolution under visible light. *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2014**, *6*, 2167–2173. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 27. Konta, R.; Ishii, T.; Kato, H.; Kudo, A. Photocatalytic activities of noble metal ion doped SrTiO₃ under visible light irradiation. *J. Phys. Chem. B* **2004**, *108*, 8992–8995. [CrossRef]
- 28. Nishioka, S.; Maeda, K. Hydrothermal synthesis of rhodium-doped barium titanate nanocrystals for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution under visible light. *RSC Adv.* 2015, *5*, 100123–100128. [CrossRef]
- 29. Bhat, D.K.; Bantawal, H.; Shenoy, U.S. Rhodium doping augments photocatalytic activity of barium titanate: Effect of electronic structure engineering. *Nanoscale Adv.* 2020, 2, 5688–5698. [CrossRef]
- 30. Jain, A.; Ong, S.P.; Hautier, G.; Chen, W.; Richards, W.D.; Dacek, S.; Cholia, S.; Gunter, D.; Skinner, D.; Ceder, G. Commentary: The Materials Project: A materials genome approach to accelerating materials innovation. *APL Mater.* **2013**, *1*, 011002. [CrossRef]
- 31. Eglitis, R.; Vanderbilt, D. Ab initio calculations of BaTiO₃ and PbTiO₃ (001) and (011) surface structures. *Phys. Rev. B* 2007, 76, 155439. [CrossRef]
- Shi, K.; Zhang, B.; Liu, K.; Zhang, J.; Ma, G. Rhodium-Doped Barium Titanate Perovskite as a Stable p-Type Photocathode in Solar Water Splitting. ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces 2023, 15, 47754–47763. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 33. Man, I.C.; Su, H.-Y.; Calle-Vallejo, F.; Hansen, H.A.; Martínez, J.I.; Inoglu, N.G.; Kitchin, J.; Jaramillo, T.F.; Nørskov, J.K.; Rossmeisl, J. Universality in oxygen evolution electrocatalysis on oxide surfaces. *ChemCatChem* **2011**, *3*, 1159–1165. [CrossRef]
- 34. García-Mota, M.; Bajdich, M.; Viswanathan, V.; Vojvodic, A.; Bell, A.T.; Nørskov, J.K. Importance of correlation in determining electrocatalytic oxygen evolution activity on cobalt oxides. J. Phys. Chem. C 2012, 116, 21077–21082. [CrossRef]
- 35. Haynes, W.M. CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 93rd ed.; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, USA, 2012.
- 36. Shirane, G.; Danner, H.; Pepinsky, R. Neutron Diffraction Study of Orthorhombic BaTi₃. Phys. Rev. 1957, 105, 856–860. [CrossRef]
- Yasuda, N.; Murayama, H.; Fukuyama, Y.; Kim, J.; Kimura, S.; Toriumi, K.; Tanaka, Y.; Moritomo, Y.; Kuroiwa, Y.; Kato, K.; et al. X-ray diffractometry for the structure determination of a submicrometre single powder grain. *J. Synchrotron Radiat.* 2009, 16, 352–357. [CrossRef]
- 38. Al-Shakarchi, E.K.; Mahmood, N.B. Three Techniques Used to Produce BaTiO₃ Fine Powder. J. Mod. Phys. 2011, 2, 9. [CrossRef]
- Buttner, R.H.; Maslen, E.N. Structural parameters and electron difference density in BaTiO₃. Acta Crystallogr. Sect. B 1992, 48, 764–769. [CrossRef]
- Xiao, C.J.; Jin, C.Q.; Wang, X.H. Crystal structure of dense nanocrystalline BaTiO₃ ceramics. *Mater. Chem. Phys.* 2008, 111, 209–212. [CrossRef]
- Inerbaev, T.M.; Hoefelmeyer, J.D.; Kilin, D.S. Photoinduced Charge Transfer from Titania to Surface Doping Site. J. Phys. Chem. C 2013, 117, 9673–9692. [CrossRef]
- 42. Bader, R.F.W. Atoms in Molecules. A Quantum Theory; Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 1990.
- Iwashina, K.; Kudo, A. Rh-Doped SrTiO₃ Photocatalyst Electrode Showing Cathodic Photocurrent for Water Splitting under Visible-Light Irradiation. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 13272–13275. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 44. Ng, J.W.D.; García-Melchor, M.; Bajdich, M.; Chakthranont, P.; Kirk, C.; Vojvodic, A.; Jaramillo, T.F. Gold-supported cerium-doped NiOx catalysts for water oxidation. *Nat. Energy* **2016**, *1*, 16053. [CrossRef]
- Kresse, G.; Joubert, D. From ultrasoft pseudopotentials to the projector augmented-wave method. *Phys. Rev. B* 1999, 59, 1758. [CrossRef]
- Kresse, G.; Furthmüller, J. Efficient iterative schemes for ab initio total-energy calculations using a plane-wave basis set. *Phys. Rev.* B 1996, 54, 11169. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 47. Blöchl, P.E. Projector augmented-wave method. Phys. Rev. B 1994, 50, 17953. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- Perdew, J.P.; Burke, K.; Ernzerhof, M. Generalized gradient approximation made simple. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* 1996, 77, 3865. [CrossRef] [PubMed]
- 49. Dudarev, S.L.; Botton, G.A.; Savrasov, S.Y.; Humphreys, C.J.; Sutton, A.P. Electron-energy-loss spectra and the structural stability of nickel oxide: An LSDA+U study. *Phys. Rev. B* **1998**, *57*, 1505–1509. [CrossRef]
- 50. Maldonado, F.; Jácome, S.; Stashans, A. Codoping of Ni and Fe in tetragonal BaTiO₃. *Comput. Condens. Matter* **2017**, *13*, 49–54. [CrossRef]
- 51. Heyd, J.; Scuseria, G.E.; Ernzerhof, M. Hybrid functionals based on a screened Coulomb potential. *J. Chem. Phys.* 2003, 118, 8207–8215. [CrossRef]
- 52. Mathew, K.; Sundararaman, R.; Letchworth-Weaver, K.; Arias, T.A.; Hennig, R.G. Implicit solvation model for density-functional study of nanocrystal surfaces and reaction pathways. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2014**, *140*, 084106. [CrossRef]
- Mom, R.V.; Cheng, J.; Koper, M.T.M.; Sprik, M. Modeling the Oxygen Evolution Reaction on Metal Oxides: The Infuence of Unrestricted DFT Calculations. J. Phys. Chem. C 2014, 118, 4095–4102. [CrossRef]

Disclaimer/Publisher's Note: The statements, opinions and data contained in all publications are solely those of the individual author(s) and contributor(s) and not of MDPI and/or the editor(s). MDPI and/or the editor(s) disclaim responsibility for any injury to people or property resulting from any ideas, methods, instructions or products referred to in the content.